Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

Andrzej Gzella,^a* Maria Chrzanowska^b and Agnieszka Dreas^b

^aDepartment of Organic Chemistry, K. Marcinkowski University of Medical Sciences Poznań, ul. Grunwaldzka 6, 60-780 Poznań, Poland, and ^bFaculty of Chemistry, A. Mickiewicz University, ul. Grunwaldzka 6, 60-780 Poznań, Poland

Correspondence e-mail: akgzella@amp.edu.pl

Key indicators

Single-crystal X-ray study T = 293 K Mean σ (C–C) = 0.002 Å R factor = 0.031 wR factor = 0.087 Data-to-parameter ratio = 9.5

For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e. The title compound [systematic name: (*R*)-(+)-2,3-methylenedioxy-5,6,13,13a-tetrahydro-8*H*-dibenzo[*a*,*g*]quinolizin-8-one], $C_{18}H_{15}NO_3$, an optically active protoberberine derivative, was synthesized and its absolute configuration has been additionally confirmed by this crystal structure refinement. The H atom at the asymmetric centre assumes a β configuration and is oriented in the bisectional position relative to the tetrahydropyridine ring and axial to the tetrahydropyridinone ring.

(R)-(+)-2,3-Methylenedioxy-8-oxoberbine

Comment

The asymmetric synthesis of (R)-(+)-2,3-methylenedioxy-8oxoberbine, (I), a part of our study on stereoselective syntheses of isoquinoline alkaloids (Chrzanowska & Rozwadowska, 2004), is closely connected with the synthesis of a natural protoberberine alkaloid, (S)-(-)-2,3-methylenedioxy-8oxoberbine, so-called gusanlung D. The crystal structure of synthesized (S)-(-)-2,3-methylenedioxy-8-oxoberbine has already been reported (Gzella *et al.*, 2005). X-ray crystallographic studies have been undertaken to confirm the absolute configuration of synthesized (R)-(+)-2,3-methylenedioxy-8-oxoberbine.



The results of the X-ray study have confirmed the absolute configuration (*R*) of (I) (Fig. 1), proposed earlier on the basis of spectroscopic data (Chrzanowska *et al.*, 2004). The H atom at the asymmetric centre, C13a, assumes the β configuration. The torsion angles H13*A*-C13a-N7-C6 and H13*A*-C13a-N7-C8 are -89.1 (11) and 75.5 (11)°, respectively.

Other details concerning the structure analysis of (I) are the same as those of (S)-(-)-2,3-methylenedioxy-8-oxoberbine (Gzella *et al.*, 2005).

Experimental

Compound (I) was synthesized according to the literature procedure of Chrzanowska *et al.*, (2004); mp. 467–469 K; $[\alpha]_D = +415.3$ (*c* 0.77, CHCl₃). The main concept of our synthetic strategy was based on the addition reaction of chiral carbon nucleophiles to cyclic imines, in which a new stereogenic centre was created. We were interested in performing the asymmetric synthesis of a protoberberine system

© 2005 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain – all rights reserved Received 4 November 2005 Accepted 14 November 2005 Online 19 November 2005

organic papers

using lateral metallation methodology. Compound (I) was obtained in the reaction of 6,7-methylenedioxy-3,4-dihydroisoquinoline with laterally lithiated *o*-toluamide, in which the amine part was derived from (1*S*,2*S*)-thiomicamine, followed by a chromatographic separation of the diastereomeric addition products obtained, and a cyclization of a pure diastereomer characterized by a longer retention time on HPLC. The crystal was grown by slow evaporation of methanol from a methanolic solution kept at room temperature. The enantiomeric excess was established to be >99% by HPLC analysis using a Chiralcel OD–H column.

Crystal data

$C_{18}H_{15}NO_3$
$M_r = 293.31$
Orthorhombic, $P2_12_12_1$
a = 7.3595 (8) Å
b = 9.2465 (14)Å
c = 20.323 (3) Å
V = 1383.0 (3) Å ³
Z = 4
$D_x = 1.409 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$

Data collection

Kuma KM-4 diffractometer ω -2 θ scans Absorption correction: none 2673 measured reflections 2475 independent reflections 2311 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ $R_{\text{int}} = 0.019$

Refinement

Refinement on F^2 $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.031$ $wR(F^2) = 0.087$ S = 1.052475 reflections 260 parameters All H-atom parameters refined $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0667P)^2 + 0.0526P]$ where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$ Cu K α radiation Cell parameters from 61 reflections $\theta = 14.6-30.0^{\circ}$ $\mu = 0.79 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 293 (2) K Pillar, colourless $0.55 \times 0.15 \times 0.12 \text{ mm}$

 $\begin{array}{l} \theta_{\max} = 70.2^{\circ} \\ h = -8 \rightarrow 8 \\ k = 0 \rightarrow 11 \\ l = 0 \rightarrow 24 \\ 2 \text{ standard reflections} \\ \text{every 100 reflections} \\ \text{intensity decay: 3.8\%} \end{array}$

 $\begin{array}{l} (\Delta/\sigma)_{max}=0.001\\ \Delta\rho_{max}=0.21\ e\ {\rm \AA}^{-3}\\ \Delta\rho_{min}=-0.15\ e\ {\rm \AA}^{-3}\\ {\rm Extinction\ correction:\ SHELXL97}\\ {\rm Extinction\ coefficient:\ 0.0064\ (7)}\\ {\rm Absolute\ structure:\ Flack\ (1983),}\\ 932\ {\rm Friedel\ pairs}\\ {\rm Flack\ parameter:\ 0.1\ (2)} \end{array}$

All H atoms were located in difference Fourier maps and refined freely; the range of C-H distances was 0.93 (2)–1.06 (2) Å. The rather large s.u. of the Flack (1983) parameter is due to the small anomalous dispersion effects; refinement of the inverse structure of (I) leads to a value close to 1 [x = 0.9 (2)].





The molecular structure of (I), showing the atomic labelling scheme. Non-H atoms are drawn as 30% probability displacement ellipsoids.

Data collection: *KM-4 Software* (Kuma, 1996); cell refinement: *KM-4 Software*; data reduction: *KM-4 Software*; program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS97* (Sheldrick, 1997); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: *ORTEPIII* (Burnett & Johnson, 1996) and *ORTEP3 for Windows* (Farrugia, 1997); software used to prepare material for publication: *WinGX* (Farrugia, 1999).

This work was supported by a research grant from the State Committee for Scientific Research in the years 2003–2006 (KBN grant No. 4 T09A 078 24) and in the year 2005 (KBN grant No. 502–01-3308417–04681).

References

Burnett, M. N. & Johnson, C. K.(1996) ORTEPIII. Report ORNL-6895. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee, U. S.

Chrzanowska, M., Dreas A. & Rozwadowska, M. D. (2004). Tetrahedron Asymmetry, 15, 1113–1120.

Chrzanowska, M. & Rozwadowska, M. D. (2004). Chem. Rev. 104, 3341–3370 and references cited therein.

Farrugia, L. J. (1997). J. Appl. Cryst. 30, 565.

Farrugia, L. J. (1999). J. Appl. Cryst. 32, 837-838.

Flack, H. D. (1983). Acta Cryst. A39, 876-881.

Gzella, A., Chrzanowska, M. & Dreas A. (2005). Acta Cryst. E61, 04001–4003.
Kuma (1996). Kuma KM-4 User's Guide. Version 8.0.1. Kuma Diffraction, Wrocław, Poland.

Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). SHELXS97 and SHELXL97. Release 97-2. University of Göttingen, Germany.